REMARKS

The specification has been amended to make editorial changes therein.

Claims 1-9 were rejected as unpatentable over CIVANLAR et al. 5,995,606 in view of GALVIN et al. 2004/0160951 Al. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

The claims include, among other features, the feature in which second screen data to be displayed on the display unit of the communication terminal are transmitted from the switching system to the web server, where the second screen data includes a telephone number of the selected one of the extensions.

The Official Action acknowledges that CIVANLAR et al. do not disclose a system with plural extensions and relies on GALVIN et al. for the suggestion to modify CIVANLAR et al. to include plural extensions. However, neither reference discloses or suggests the claimed second screen data, which would therefore be missing from the combination and not obvious to one of skill in the art.

CIVANLAR et al. describe a system in which a user receives information over a switched network when the internet is too slow. The user provides its telephone number (the modem telephone number) for the server to call back and through which the requested information is to be provided (column 4, line 31 through column 5, line 52). The user is not provided with any

information regarding telephone numbers because the user provides its own number that is to receive the call from the server.

GALVIN et al. describe a PBX system that includes extensions. The PBX system associates a user's PBX extension with a packet-switching network address (abstract) and uses this information to route calls. However, there is no suggestion to display the telephone number of the selected extension on the display unit of the communication terminal (e.g., a telephone outside the PBX system calling into the PBX system), where the selected telephone number has been transmitted from the switching system to the web server.

Further, it is not clear how GALVIN et al. would be used to modify CIVANLAR et al. If, for example, the user in CIVANLAR et al. was an extension of a PBX, the user would enter his PBX extension to receive the information that could not be passed over the internet. There is no suggestion here to add the telephone number of the selected extension, and indeed there would be no motivation to display the extension telephone number (where the selected telephone number has been transmitted from the switching system to the web server) because the user would have been required to enter it himself.

Accordingly, the proposed combination does not motivate one of skill in the art to add the claimed second screen data and the claims avoid the rejection under \$103.

Docket No. 8038-1020 Appln. No. 10/006,359

In view of the present amendment and the foregoing remarks, it is believed that the present application has been placed in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 25-0120 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG & THOMESON

Thomas W. Perkins, Reg. No. 33,027

745 South 23rd Street Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone (703) 521-2297

Telefax (703) 685-0573

(703) 979-4709

TWP/lrs